

Application No. 09/749,171
Amendment dated August 28, 2003
Reply to Office Action of May 28, 2003

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

An Office Action dated 05/28/03 indicated claims 1-21 and 23 to be pending.

Claims 1-2, 5-6, 14-18, 20 and 21 were rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Xiao et al. Claims 3-4 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xiao et al.

Claims 7-13 and 23 were allowed. Claim 19 was objected to. Claim 19 was combined with claim 14, from which it depended, as an amended claim 14.

Rejected independent claims 1, 20 and 21 were amended and should be allowable.

The pending dependent claims should be allowable since they depend from allowed or allowable claims.

Favorable consideration of the present amendment is very respectfully requested.


Application No. 09/749,171
Amendment dated August 28, 2003
Reply to Office Action of May 28, 2003

Respectfully Submitted,

Cabuz et al.

By their attorney:

Date: 8/28/03



John G. Shudy, Jr., Reg. No. 31,214
CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC
1221 Nicollet Avenue, Suite 800
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55403-2420
Telephone: (612) 677-9050
Facsimile: (612) 359-9349